

FALL 2026

Print Culture and Political Revolution: A Comparative Study of Information Technology in Late-Eighteenth-Century France and Late Qing China

By Jiangyue Wu

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

Jiangyue Wu is a second-year IB Diploma Programme student at UWC Maastricht in the Netherlands. She hopes to study history and continue researching how information technologies, from the printing press to algorithm-driven media, shape state control and mass mobilization.

ABSTRACT

Print culture has long been thought to breed revolution, but it never works alone. A text is only as powerful as the network that carries it to readers. This paper explores why the emergence of cheap print helped to mobilize the mass protests and topple the Bourbon monarchy during the French Revolution (1789-1799), but it failed to produce a comparable mobilization in China's reform-press era (1896-1911). By reading censorship records alongside scholarship on literacy and the press, this study compares the conditions that print confronted in the two empires and proposes a three-filter interaction framework to explain the divergence. This framework holds that a text mobilizes opposition only after it clears three barriers, legal permission, a literate audience, and fast, affordable distribution channels. In France, war-driven fiscal collapse crippled royal censorship at the moment when nearly half of adult men could read and a dense postal and carrier network moved pamphlets across the country within days. In Qing China, customs-funded censorship remained robust, functional literacy in classical Chinese (*wenyanwen*) was confined to a narrow stratum, and distribution stayed slow and expensive, so the reform press circulated within a coastal enclave of roughly one percent of the population. Counter-examples within each country, together with the manner of the Qing collapse in 1911, test the framework's robustness. This paper closes by considering what the framework suggests about algorithm-driven media under contemporary authoritarian regimes.

Keywords: *print culture, censorship, French Revolution, late Qing China, literacy, comparative history, information technology, media and revolution, public sphere, authoritarian regimes*

Writing in 1733, Voltaire, who had already spent eleven months in the Bastille for satirical verses mocking the Regent, attacked press censorship in his *Letter on the Liberty of the Press and Theaters*. He observed that had Rome possessed a literary censorship, the world would have neither Horace nor Juvenal nor the philosophical works of Cicero (Voltaire, 1733). Nearly two centuries later, in 1903, the Chinese journalist Shen Jin was arrested in Beijing and, by imperial order, flogged to death in prison on July 31. Contemporaries at home and abroad attributed his fate to his exposure of secret Sino-Russian treaty negotiations in the press (China News Service, 2011). Though separated by time and place, both men had used the printed word to say what their autocratic regimes wished unsaid. Late eighteenth-century France and late Qing China confronted the same unprecedented challenge that cheap print could carry forbidden words far beyond the state's reach, and even seizing the writer no longer stopped the circulation of information. This study explores how two absolute regimes navigated the disruption from the rise of print culture amid political unrest, and why the French state's loss of information control ultimately led to regime collapse, while the Qing dynasty successfully sustained a nationwide censorship apparatus.

To explain the historical divergence, this essay compares the social and political environment that allowed the emergence, evolution, and circulation of print media, and investigates how diverse factors, such as literacy, economic conditions, censorship enforcement, and distribution infrastructure, shape the effectiveness of print media to mobilize revolutionary sentiment.

Through the comparison, it raises a broader question that extends beyond the eighteenth and early twentieth centuries. To what extent did the emerging information technology act as a catalyst for social transformation under an authoritarian background? This paper reveals the potential and limitations of early media under autocratic regression. Thus, a historical framework can be raised for understanding how contemporary authoritarian regimes respond to algorithm-driven social media, and whether such platforms can play the mobilizing role that print used to play.

COMPARATIVE BACKGROUND

While the France of the last Bourbon kings and the China of the last Qing decades were almost a century apart, the perspective of information control between the two countries was comparable. Four shared structural conditions justify a paired comparison.

First, both were monarchies with centralized authorities that claimed ultimate control over news and publishing. Although each enforced that control through a different mechanism, neither would let a text circulate without the state's tolerance. In France, the *privilège du roi* screened manuscripts before they reached

print, so that a book could not legally be published without this special royal permission (Birn, 2012), while in Qing China instead, the literary inquisition (wenziyu) enforced the same principle through corporal punishment for authors of texts that had already circulated (Liu, 1932; An & Zhu, 2010). Pre-publication licensing and retrospective punishment were different tools that both served to keep the final word on what could be read in the hands of the state.

Second, both regimes were significantly challenged by cheap mass production. In Europe, improvements in press design and type casting, together with steadily falling paper costs, had by the eighteenth century allowed publishers to produce pamphlets and books at unprecedented speed and declining prices (Eisenstein, 2013). Likewise, in late Qing China, Western missionaries first brought modern presses and periodicals such as the *Wanguo gongbao* (The Globe Magazine) to China, and official bureaus and emerging private firms, driven by the hunger for Western learning, soon took the technology over. Lithography arrived in the 1870s, movable lead type by the 1890s, and new-style newspapers followed, triggering a rapid expansion of China's printing industry (Judge, 1996).

Third, the emergence of print culture and new technologies occurred in a period when both governments were caught in war-related fiscal crises. France labored under the interest on the loans that had financed its intervention in the American Revolutionary War (1775–1783), whereas the Qing struggled to pay foreign indemnities after its defeat in the First Sino-Japanese War (1894-1895).

Fourth, both countries faced rising social anxiety and reformist discourse. The French Estates clamored for constitutional reform, while late Qing elites debated "self-strengthening." In this climate, newspapers carried ideas of opposition and renewal, creating fertile ground for print to become politically consequential.

These shared conditions also justify the specific years each case study covers below. Both windows are bounded by the same criterion, spanning from the moment at which print began to operate as a mass political force, to the resolution at which the political order it confronted was resolved. In France the political newspapers were so rare before the Revolution that they could be counted on one hand. When pre-publication censorship broke down in 1788-1789, the political press exploded to some three hundred titles within a single year (Reichardt, 2010). The French case study therefore covers 1789 to 1799, from the moment print became a mass political phenomenon to the conventional closing date of the Revolution. In China, the reform press was born in August 1896, arguing explicitly and sustainedly for political reform, opening with Liang's own manifesto on the press as an instrument of national affairs (Liang, 1896; Fang, 2013).

The Chinese case study accordingly covers 1896 to 1911, from that founding to the end of the dynasty. However, the Qing dynasty and France had different environments for print media's emergence and

FALL 2026

development. In France, the political press and the revolution were born simultaneously. While, the reform press was created in 1896, the Qing dynasty stood for more than fifteen years under the influence of print media in China. Rather than being a flaw in comparison, this gap is the crucial phenomenon this paper explains. In France, the long-lived underground pamphlet and book trade created its massive target audience and a clear distribution network. Thus, the number of presses and revolutions can erupt together. China's reform press faced a society where few could read and copies traveled relatively slowly, and it struggled against both limits for almost fifteen years.

CASE STUDY: WHY PRINT MOBILIZED FRANCE BUT NOT CHINA

Despite these four shared conditions, the same printing technology reached France and China with opposite political effects. It helped bring down the French monarchy through a mobilized reading public, yet remained an elite ripple in China's treaty ports. The Qing dynasty did fall to the Revolution of 1911, but that revolution ran through army mutinies and elite defection rather than through print-driven mass mobilization. The following three subsections examine the decisive variables of legal machinery under war-driven fiscal strain, social porosity and literacy, and distribution infrastructure.

DIFFERENCES IN LEGAL MACHINERY UNDER FISCAL STRAIN

Both Bourbon France and the Qing Empire backed their control of print with severe punishment. Yet wartime fiscal trajectories caused their censorial systems to develop in opposite directions.

Bourbon rulers responded to the challenge of print technology with an intricate machinery of censorship. Under Louis XIV and his successors, books could be published only with the permission of royal censors, who read manuscripts submitted by printers and booksellers to determine whether they were suitable for publication (Birn, 2012; Brown, 2003). The government also dealt harshly with Enlightenment thinkers and other writers considered "dangerous." Hundreds of titles were banned, and the stocks of banned titles were burned on the Place de Grève as a public spectacle meant to warn the crowds that ideas could be as seditious as gunpowder (Darnton, 1982a). Authors, printers, and booksellers were jailed so routinely that fears of police surveillance and imprisonment shadowed the entire underground book trade (Darnton, 1982a). In the most extreme cases, the penalty was death. In sum, the monarchy's suppression of the free press before the Revolution was severe and all-encompassing.

This machinery did not collapse under its own weight. It was overwhelmed by a fiscal and political crisis. France's vast investment in the American Revolutionary War (munitions, troops, and naval support) cost roughly 1.3 billion livres, and by the late 1780s the royal debt had swollen to about four billion livres, with debt

FALL 2026

service consuming a crushing share of annual revenue (White, 1995). The threat of state insolvency forced the crown to solicit public opinion, the precise phenomenon that censorship had always existed to prevent. The convocation of the Assembly of Notables and then of the Estates-General, together with the invitation to all three orders to draft cahiers de doléances, made political discussion legitimate almost overnight. The invitation to petition the crown quickly escaped the strict boundaries set by the monarch. Printers began producing pamphlets on subjects the cahiers never authorized, from constitutional reform to attacks on aristocratic privilege. In 1788–1789 the censeurs royaux no longer had the authority or the political capacity to enforce the licensing system, and pamphlets flooded out in response. The century-old licensing system collapsed in practice (Darnton, 1982a; Schama, 1989).

Austerity, high taxation, and food shortages meanwhile fed popular anger. The newly unbound press gave that anger words and volume (Schama, 1989). Books and newspapers advocating revolutionary and Enlightenment ideas, which could never have circulated openly before, now poured into the streets, with an estimated seventy to eighty thousand pamphlets produced over the course of the revolutionary period (Chisick, 1993).

In parallel, the Qing literary inquisition operated as a single chain of command running from local officials up to the imperial court. Throughout the dynasty (1644–1912), the imperial government imprisoned or executed writers who voiced dissent, and systematically censored the content of publication. County officials screened texts already in circulation, suspect titles were forwarded to Beijing, and the Grand Council decided whether to circulate or burn (An & Zhu, 2010). During the reigns of Kangxi, Yongzheng, and Qianlong (1661–1796), the state prosecuted roughly 170 of the dynasty's 180 documented literary inquisition cases, systematically imprisoning, exiling, or executing writers and their extended networks for works deemed critical of the Qing or nostalgic for the Ming (An & Zhu, 2010).

In one infamous case, Zeng Jing accused the Yongzheng emperor of usurpation. Yongzheng initially spared him in order to publish a massive ideological refutation (Dayi juemi lu, 1730/1983). But the Qianlong emperor reserved this after his ascension in 1735, ending the case with Zeng's execution in a bloody way, lingchi (death by slicing) and the exile of his entire family. This reversal demonstrated that even suspected disloyalty could bring the state's full and most brutal punishment down on an entire family (Wang, 2012). Although the great inquisitions peaked in the eighteenth century, the methods they established remained formidable in the late Qing. The state still watched its writers, punished a few to frighten the rest, and held whole families liable, now turning these tools against new print culture.

The Qing state's capacity to censor print remained intact, even the empire was defeated in the First Sino-Japanese War (1894-1895) and again by the Eight-Nation Alliance in 1900-1901. The massive indemnities

FALL 2026

that followed, about 200 million and 450 million taels, were serviced mainly through maritime customs revenue collected under foreign supervision (Twitchett et al., 1980). This arrangement spared the Qing court from levying dramatic new domestic taxes. Unlike the pre-revolutionary French crown, the Qing state could extract resources without popular consent or political bargaining. No Chinese equivalent of the Estates-General ever forced the throne to negotiate taxes with its subjects or legitimized open political debate. The court therefore kept both the administrative capacity and the political will to suppress the press, as the 1903 execution of Shen Jin and trial of the Subao writers Zhang Binglin and Zou Rong showed (Judge, 1996; China News Service, 2011).

In the first decades of the twentieth century, mass-circulation print media finally took root in Qing China. The court saw its subversive potential and moved to tighten and codify control rather than relax or neglect it (Fang, 2013; Judge, 1996; Ting, 1974). As China's first dedicated print legislation (Ting, 1974), the Special Law on Printed Matter of July 1906 (Da Qing yinshuawu zhuanlü) required that works of journalism be inspected before printing. In January 1908, the court intensified these controls through the Press Law (Da Qing baolü), which mandated the registration of editors and publishers, exacted security deposits for new papers, and enforced the submission of all copy for censorship by midnight before publication (Da Qing baolü, 1908/1992; Judge, 1996; Xiao, 1998).

Overall, while France's fiscal crisis forced open the door to public politics and buried its censorship beneath the pamphlet flood, the Qing preserved its control over print through collecting its indemnities without ever having to ask its subjects for political consent. Thus, comparable legal code diverged sharply in effect. In both countries, print culture was no longer limited to commercial or scholarly purposes. It had acquired a new mission, to circulate political information, mobilize the public, shape opinion, and even challenge state power. Yet only in France did this new mission translate into a force the state could no longer contain.

DIFFERENCES IN SOCIAL POROSITY AND LITERACY

If law determined whether a text could exist, social porosity and literacy determined who could read it. Social porosity is the degree of openness through which people, social connections, and ideas can move across group or spatial boundaries in a city or community (Hipp et al., 2014). In a highly porous setting, physical and social boundaries permit intense cross-group flows, while in a low-porosity setting, walls, fenced compounds, rigorous zoning, and hard class boundaries prevent such movements (Sennett, 2018).

Here, the two monarchies parted ways most sharply in how print engaged the public. While France's cafés and high literacy rates amplified every pamphlet into the public sphere, Qing China lacked such cross-class public spaces. Even though a notable portion of the population possessed basic literacy (Rawski, 1979), this

limitation, compounded by self-imposed silence and self-censorship, kept printed words strictly quarantined among elites.

In late-eighteenth-century France, cafés, salons, and subscription libraries had mushroomed across Paris and the provinces, forming communications circuit that fell outside the scope of official surveillance (Darnton, 1982b). These venues formed the infrastructure of an emerging public sphere, a space where ordinary people gathered to debate public authority (Habermas, 1962/1989).

Traditional institutions such as the Sorbonne condemned Enlightenment texts as dangerous books (McMahon, 2001). But this theological resistance could not hold back the intellectual tide. Secret lending libraries and foreign publishing houses kept banned books circulating beyond the reach of royal censors. Print had become a public force rather than a regulated craft.

Rising literacy multiplied that force. In France, signature-based literacy rose from 29 percent for men and 14 percent for women in 1686–1690 to roughly 47 percent for men and 27 percent for women on the eve of the French Revolution (Furet & Sachs, 1974; Furet & Ozouf, 1982). In the cities, literacy ran higher still. Signing rates on Parisian marriage contracts and wills rose above 85 percent in artisanal streets such as the rue Saint-Denis (Schama, 1989). By 1780, roughly 35 percent of wills left by the popular classes contained books. Printed materials could therefore travel beyond elite circles. They spread Enlightenment through a broad population and helped prepare the ground for revolution.

By contrast, the size of the late Qing reading public remains debated, though it was narrower than that of France's. Rawski (1979) estimated that 30 to 45 percent of men and 2 to 10 percent of women possessed some ability to read and write. But because her metric included everyone from full classical literacy (*wenyanwen*) scholars down to those who recognized only a few hundred characters, critics such as Idema (1980) argued that these figures overstated functional literacy.

More fundamentally, even basic character recognition did not translate into newspaper readership. Reform journals such as *Shibao* and *Shenbao* were written in classical Chinese. While the gap between print and speech in France was merely a stylistic difference, in Qing China this classical language functioned as an entirely separate literary system remote from everyday speech. Moreover, because mastering this difficult classical Chinese required years of costly tuition that poor households could not afford (Xu, 2012), economic barriers strictly confined newspaper readership to a wealthy and educated elite.

Beyond the structural barriers of literacy above, a centuries-long tradition of keeping silence further restricted the public sphere in Qing China. This pervasive political caution was enforced by imperial censorship

FALL 2026

and examination system. Through the standard eight-legged essay (baguwen), the state rewarded rigid ideological conformity and punished any deviation. Consequently, as historical studies on the imperial literary inquisition (wenziyu) demonstrate, this extreme expression control caused an enduring climate of fear, forcing intellectuals to adopt a survival strategy of proactive self-censorship and strict avoidance of state affairs (Guy, 1987). Chinese teahouses, China's nearest counterpart to the Parisian café, did not evolve into public plazas for political mobilization. As social history research shows, early twentieth-century teahouses operated under strict defensive norms, ensuring that popular sociability reinforced state-enforced silence rather than challenging imperial authority (Wang, 2003, 2008).

France's reading public and café circuit turned every French pamphlet into street noise, whereas restricted literacy and self-censoring teahouses left Qing print whispering to itself. Thus, identical print technology met radically different audiences. Audience size and its voice serve as a multiplier of print's political reach.

DIFFERENCES IN DISTRIBUTION METHODS AND COSTS

If legal mechanisms determined which texts could be disseminated, and literacy determined who could understand them, distribution methods and costs determined how far texts could travel.

Here, the two monarchies diverged once again. A cheap pamphlet printed in Paris could reach provincial cities such as Lyon within days along the established postal and carrier routes (Darnton, 1996), while commercial print in China crawled between provinces along mule-and-horse relays that primarily served state administrative rather than public commercial networks (Harris, 2012). Speed, cost, and bureaucratic friction thus became the third screening mechanism, causing the same printed materials to produce opposed political consequences.

In late-eighteenth century France, this infrastructural speed was driven by a highly developed logistics network. The Crown maintained the Royal Post (Poste Royale) alongside the Royal Stagecoach Corporation (Messageries Royales), a unified transportation system that achieved a level of domestic connectivity unmatched anywhere else in Europe. A tightly organized network of relay stations and scheduled routes cut the delivery times for letters and pamphlets between Paris and Lyon to a few days. It carried radical newspapers with reform ideas across the country faster than the state could control them.

French smugglers ran radical pamphlets (libelles) across the northern frontier, while provincial booksellers forwarded illegal titles in crates disguised as linen or wine (Darnton, 1982a). This fast-moving print broke the monarchy's monopoly on information, and drew scattered social groups into a shared revolutionary

cause. Its wide circulation fed the protests, riots, and armed uprisings toppled the absolute monarchy and open the way to France's republican transition at the end of the eighteenth century.

By contrast, the Qing gradually adopted Western communication technologies in the late nineteenth century, but its slow and costly infrastructure kept print from spreading. Emerging newspapers depended on the telegraph for news from other provinces and abroad, yet the telegraph stayed under government control and served official needs more than daily life, with the problems of speed, stability, cost, and reliability (Yoon, 2015). The modern Imperial Post Office was not established until 1896, and its network expanded from a low base, covering an empire many times the size of France (Tsai, 2015). Even the fastest official channel, the "eight-hundred-li express," took six or seven days to reach the frontier from the capital Beijing, and its cost put it beyond the civilian use. Ordinary letters took weeks to reach the inland provinces (Xu, 2010).

These combined constraints kept early Chinese newspapers small. In August 1909 the highest-circulation daily in Shanghai, Shibao, printed about 17,000 copies, and Shenbao about 14,000 (Judge, 1996). The combined audience for all periodicals reached only two to four million people, about one percent of the population (Judge, 1996). Yet circulation alone cannot explain the weakness, since in revolutionary France a newspaper could move opinion with a run of two or three thousand (Popkin, as cited in Judge, 1996, p. 237). What mattered was the journey each copy had to make. Shenbao's sales outlets grew from 23 in 1879 to 108 by 1904, but they clustered along steamship routes in the treaty ports and the Jiangnan region. Across the whole north, only Beijing and Baoding sustained regular outlets (Shenbao, 1879–1904). Moving a copy inland cost more than ordinary readers could afford. So, reform-minded print stayed confined to a narrow circle of coastal elites, and Enlightenment ideas never sparked nationwide political mobilization.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

Having analyzed how law, audience, and distribution each diverged in France and China, this section draws the three filters together and proceeds in two steps. First, it explains why their interaction let cheap print circulate widely in France but not in late Qing China. Second, it tests the framework against three counterexamples, a corner of revolutionary France that the print explosion scarcely reached, a treaty-port paper that nearly broke through in China, and the fall of the Qing dynasty itself in 1911.

THE THREE-FILTER INTERACTION FRAMEWORK

The three-filter interaction framework brings these findings together. These three information filters jointly shape how far print can reach politically, namely legal machinery, audience, and distribution. A printed

FALL 2026

text must first survive the legal-permission filter, then reach a receptive audience through the audience-porosity filter, and finally move quickly through the distribution-velocity filter.

In pre-revolutionary France, all three filters stood open. Fiscal collapse had gutted the censorship apparatus. Cafés and a broad vernacular readership multiplied every pamphlet's audience. And a low-cost distribution network carried print across the country within days. The result was a dramatic surge of printed matter during the Revolution, when newspapers, pamphlets, prints, and posters galvanized popular sentiment and encouraged insurrectionary ideas. Revolutionary newspapers emerged as critical instruments of mobilization. In late Qing China, by contrast, the legal and distribution filters stayed locked while the audience filter remained narrow. So constrained, late Qing newspapers, despite using the same printing technology, had limited impact and could not pose a substantive threat to monarchical autocracy.

TESTING THE FRAMEWORK

This section tests whether the three-filter framework holds when situations deviate from the framework's dominant pattern.

First, rural Brittany in late-eighteenth-century France is often cited as a "revolution-proof" region, yet it conforms to the three-filter framework. The classic signature surveys show the Breton west to be among the least literate regions of France, and literacy in French was further limited by language itself. In the capital, by contrast, signature studies of wills and marriage contracts show rates of 86 percent for men on the artisanal rue Saint-Denis and 93 percent on the rue Saint-Honoré at the end of the old regime (Roche, as cited in Schama, 1989). Breton, not French, remained the everyday tongue of much of the countryside (Furet & Ozouf, 1982). Distribution was equally weak. The carrier and post networks that saturated the Paris basin grew sparse in the rural west. In the framework's terms, the audience and distribution filters were both closed in Brittany, even as the legal filter collapsed nationwide. Revolutionary pamphlets consequently moved so slowly and reached so few readers that they generated little more than parish gossip. One might object that the Revolution was driven primarily by Enlightenment ideas themselves and would have unfolded even without a robust print culture. The Breton experience suggests otherwise. The ideas were the same in Paris and in Brittany. What differed was print. Pamphlets saturated the capital but rarely reached the Breton countryside, and most villages there lacked a press. Where print did not reach, revolt did not follow. Marches, peasant riots, and attacks on seigneurial estates were correspondingly rare there.

Second, consider the Shanghai daily *Shibao*, founded in June 1904 at the direction of the exiled reformers. Kang Youwei instructed Di Baoxian and Luo Xiaogao to return to Shanghai and prepare the paper. Liang Qichao visited the city covertly for three weeks. He chose the name for the paper, wrote its inaugural

FALL 2026

statement, and outlined its regulations (Judge, 1996). Shibao printed constitutionalist essays and openly criticized autocratic government, and by 1909 it reached a circulation of about 17,000 copies, the highest of any Shanghai daily (Judge, 1996). Shibao survived on two local conditions. Inside Shanghai's foreign concessions, extraterritorial protection puts it beyond the reach of imperial censors (Judge 1996). The Qing press laws were strict on paper but weakly enforced. Copy was supposed to be submitted for inspection by midnight before publication, but officials lacked the manpower to check piles of newspapers every day, and so the laws were generally ignored (Judge, 1996; Xiao, 1998). Shibao shows that print in China could inspire liberal and reformist ideas where the legal and distribution filters opened locally. Inside the concession enclave, it laid a foundation for future mobilization. But this opening was local, not national. Once outside the treaty ports, the filters closed again, and Shibao had struck died out.

Finally, the framework must confront the most obvious objection of all. The Qing dynasty did fall in 1911. If the three filters remained closed, why did the monarchy collapse? The answer is that the Revolution of 1911 was not a print-driven mass mobilization on the French pattern. It began as a mutiny of New Army units at Wuchang, then spread through provincial assemblies as gentry elites declared independence province by province (Skocpol, 1979; Twitchett et al., 1980). The pamphlet-reading crowds in Paris had no Chinese counterpart (Twitchett et al., 1980). Where print mattered, it slipped past the filters rather than passing through them. Revolutionary journals were printed in Japanese exile, beyond the reach of the Qing's legal filter. Editors smuggled them to a small but well-placed group of audiences, students abroad and New Army soldiers, through enclave networks. They never reached a mass audience, because the Qing's distribution filter never permitted it (Twitchett et al., 1980). The pattern of the Qing's fall therefore confirms the framework rather than contradicting it. Closed filters did not preserve the Qing forever. But they did close off the French path to collapse. When the revolution came, it arrived through military and elite defection rather than through a mobilized reading public.

LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE IMPLICATIONS

This study rests on two main cases and qualitative evidence. The framework explains why print's political reach differed, how far and how fast texts could mobilize, not the occurrence or timing of revolution itself, which depended on armies, elites, harvests, and much else (Skocpol, 1979). It does not precisely measure the weight of each filter, nor does it examine mass media of the twentieth century and after. Further research might measure effective audience size across different types of revolutions, or apply the three-filter framework to digital platforms, where state throttling substitutes for the mule-borne post. Until then, the three filters remain a framework rather than a universal law.

FALL 2026

CONCLUSION

This study shows that emerging print technologies can disrupt traditional information control systems, but could not mobilize revolutionary change on their own. That power depended on three conditions, whether censors could still act, whether ordinary people could read, and whether copies could travel. Print becomes politically explosive only when relaxed censorship, inclusive public reading, and rapid distribution open concurrently.

Eighteenth-century France met all three conditions. Pro-revolutionary print circulated with a speed and scope that the Bourbon monarchy could no longer suppress. These emerging print technologies did not cause the Revolution by themselves. Fiscal collapse, harvest failure, and elite conflict did their own work. But print gave dispersed grievances a shared language and a synchronized timetable. When people organized and acted, they did so as an imagined community of fellow-readers that print had helped to construct (Anderson, 1983/2006).

Late Qing China's legal restrictions, the barrier of Classical Chinese, and slow, expensive distribution blunted the same printing technology, producing only an elite murmur along the coast. The fate of the reform press confirms that innovative technology alone hardly produces revolutionary outcomes. The limits on infrastructure kept reformist ideas from spreading and foreclosed the French path, so that when the Qing dynasty fell in 1911, it fell to rebellious armies and defecting elites rather than to a mobilized reading public.

Today's algorithmic media drives the marginal cost of distribution to near-zero while reshaping the three filters discussed above through real-time surveillance and personalized feeds. Modern recommendation engines have replaced the physical networks of the print era by precisely targeting audience and propelling each post to those most likely to amplify it. A seemingly insignificant stream of content can suddenly go viral within hours into nationwide online activism, which frequently spilled over into offline mobilization. Social media can thus spark unexpected collective action and serve as an accelerant of mobilization. In a weak state, content circulates much as pamphlets once did in eighteenth-century Paris. However, in autocracies with abundant resources and technology, the government can leverage the platforms' own machinery, tracing the source of criticism, flooding feeds with propaganda, and deleting dissenting posts within seconds so that opposing content is cut off before it spreads. During the nationwide protests of 2022, for instance, the Iranian government implemented targeted network blackouts each evening, blocking major international communication platforms, like Instagram and WhatsApp. By selectively closing the distribution filter during peak mobilization hours, the regime suppressed the mass protests while reducing the negative economic impacts brought by a full blackout (NetBlocks, 2022).

This example shows that algorithmic media can both accelerate collective action and strengthen authoritarian regimes' capacity to censor and control information. Ultimately, the revolutionary potential of any current media technology remains relatively limited on the same three filters, legal permission, audience access, and distribution. These conclusions can be applied to modern recommendation algorithms and the circulation of printed materials in French Revolution and the late Qing dynasty.

FALL 2026

REFERENCES

- An, N., & Zhu, H. (2010). 清代顺康雍乾时期文字狱的地域分异研究 [Regional differentiation of literary inquisition cases during the Shunzhi, Kangxi, Yongzheng, and Qianlong reigns]. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/353840871_qingdaishun_kang_yong_ganshiqiwenziyudedeyufenyianjiu
- Anderson, B. (2006). *Imagined communities: Reflections on the origin and spread of nationalism* (Rev. ed.). Verso. (Original work published 1983)
- Birn, R. (2012). *Royal censorship of books in eighteenth-century France*. Stanford University Press. <https://www.sup.org/books/history/royal-censorship-books-eighteenth-century-france>
- Brown, G. S. (2003). Reconsidering the censorship of writers in eighteenth-century France: Civility, state power, and the public theater in the Enlightenment. *The Journal of Modern History*, 75(2), 235–268. <https://doi.org/10.1086/380139>
- China News Service. (2011, July 14). 晚清“沈荃案”扑朔迷离 有猜测为泄露中俄密约 [The late Qing “Shen Jin case” and the leaked Sino-Russian secret treaty]. 中国新闻网 [Zhongguo xinwen wang]. <https://www.chinanews.com.cn/cul/2011/07-14/3182878.shtml>
- Chisick, H. (1993). The pamphlet literature of the French Revolution: An overview. *History of European Ideas*, 17(2–3), 149–166. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-6599\(93\)90289-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-6599(93)90289-3)
- Da Qing baolü 大清报律 [The Great Qing press law]. (1992). In Z. Liu (Ed.), 近现代出版新闻法规汇编 [Compilation of modern press and publishing laws]. Xuelin Chubanshe. (Original work published 1908)
- Darnton, R. (1982a). *The literary underground of the Old Regime*. Harvard University Press.
- Darnton, R. (1982b). What is the history of books? *Daedalus*, 111(3), 65–83. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20024803>
- Darnton, R. (1996). *The forbidden best-sellers of pre-revolutionary France*. W. W. Norton & Company. <https://wnnorton.com/books/The-Forbidden-Best-Sellers-of-Pre-Revolutionary-France>
- Dayi juemi lu 大义觉迷录 [Record of great righteousness to dispel delusion]. (1983). Zhonghua Shuju. (Original work published 1730)
- Eisenstein, E. L. (2013). *The printing press as an agent of change: Communications and cultural transformations in early-modern Europe*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107049963>
- Fang, H. (Ed.). (2013). *A history of journalism in China* (Vol. 2). Enrich Professional Publishing.
- Furet, F., & Ozouf, J. (1982). *Reading and writing: Literacy in France from Calvin to Jules Ferry*. Cambridge University Press.
- Furet, F., & Sachs, W. (1974). La croissance de l'alphabétisation en France (XVIIIe–XIXe siècle) [The growth of literacy in France during the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries]. *Annales: Économies, Sociétés, Civilisations*, 29(3), 714–737.

FALL 2026

-
- Guo, C., & Lin, T. (1990). 清朝文字狱 [Literary inquisition cases of the Qing dynasty]. Qunzhong Chubanshe. <https://catalogue.nla.gov.au/catalog/2694312>
- Guy, R. K. (1987). *The emperor's four treasuries: Scholars and the state in the late Ch'ien-lung era*. Harvard University Asia Center. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1tfj96c>
- Habermas, J. (1989). *The structural transformation of the public sphere: An inquiry into a category of bourgeois society* (T. Burger, Trans.). MIT Press. (Original work published 1962)
- Harris, L. J. (2012). *The post office and state formation in modern China, 1896–1949* [Doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign]. IDEALS. <https://hdl.handle.net/2142/31970>
- Hipp, J. R., Corcoran, J., Wickes, R., & Li, T. (2014). Examining the social porosity of environmental features on neighborhood sociability and attachment. *PLoS ONE*, 9(1), Article e84544. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084544>
- Idema, W. L. (1980). [Review of the book *Education and popular literacy in Ch'ing China*, by E. S. Rawski]. *T'oung Pao*, 66(4/5), 314–324.
- Judge, J. (1996). *Print and politics: 'Shibao' and the culture of reform in late Qing China*. Stanford University Press. <https://archive.org/details/printpoliticsshi0000judg>
- Liang, Q. (1896). 论报馆有益于国事 [On the benefit of newspapers to national affairs]. 时务报 [*Shiwubao*], (1).
- Liu, Y. (2017). 清代民众识字问题的再认识 [Rethinking popular literacy in Qing China]. 中国社会科学评价 [*China Social Science Review*], (2), 96–110. <https://files.core.ac.uk/download/pdf/323950821.pdf>
- McMahon, D. M. (2001). *Enemies of the Enlightenment: The French Counter-Enlightenment and the making of modernity*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780195136852.001.0001>
- NetBlocks. (2022, September 19). Internet disrupted in Iran amid protests over death of Mahsa Amini. <https://netblocks.org/reports/internet-disrupted-in-iran-amid-protests-over-death-of-mahsa-amini-X8qVEwAD>
- Rawski, E. S. (1979). *Education and popular literacy in Ch'ing China*. University of Michigan Press.
- Reichardt, R. (2010). The French Revolution as a European media event. *European History Online (EGO)*. Leibniz Institute of European History. <http://www.ieg-ego.eu/reichardtr-2010-en>
- Schama, S. (1989). *Citizens: A chronicle of the French Revolution*. Knopf.
- Sennett, R. (2018). *Building and dwelling: Ethics for the city*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
- Shenbao 申报 [Shanghai news]. (1879–1904). Shenbao Guan.
- Skocpol, T. (1979). *States and social revolutions: A comparative analysis of France, Russia, and China*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ting, L.-h. H. (1974). *Government control of the press in modern China, 1900–1949*. Harvard University Asia Center.
- Tsai, W. (2015). The Qing Empire's last flowering: The expansion of China's Post Office at the turn of the twentieth century. *Modern Asian Studies*, 49(3), 895–930.

-
- <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X15000013>
- Twitchett, D. C., Fairbank, J. K., & Liu, K.-C. (Eds.). (1980). *The Cambridge history of China: Vol. 11. Late Ch'ing, 1800–1911, Part 2*. Cambridge University Press.
- Voltaire. (1733, June 20). *Letters from Voltaire, 20 June 1733* [Letter]. Voltaire Society of America, Whitman College. <https://www.whitman.edu/VSA/letters/20.6.1733.html>
- Wang, D. (2003). *Street culture in Chengdu: Public space, urban commoners, and local politics, 1870–1930*. Stanford University Press.
- Wang, D. (2008). *The teahouse: Small business, everyday culture, and public politics in Chengdu, 1900–1950*. Stanford University Press.
- Wang, J. (2012, May 18). 雍正对曾静、吕留良案的"出奇料理"与吕留良研究 [Yongzheng's "extraordinary handling" of the Zeng Jing and Lü Liuliang case and the study of Lü Liuliang]. 中华文史网 [Zhonghua wenshi wang]. <https://www.qinghistory.cn/c/363/363085.shtml>
- White, E. N. (1995). The French Revolution and the politics of government finance, 1770–1815. *The Journal of Economic History*, 55(2), 227–255. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022050700041048>
- Xiao, Y. (1998). 我國近現代新聞法規的變遷 (1906–1937) [The evolution of modern Chinese press law, 1906–1937]. *Twenty-First Century*, (47), 61–72. <https://www.cuhk.edu.hk/ics/21c/zh/issues/c047.html>
- Xu, Z. (2010). "八百里加急"有多急 [How fast was the "eight-hundred-li express"?]. 政府法制 [Government Legality], (29). https://www.cnki.net/KCMS/detail/detail.aspx?dbcode=CJFD&dbname=CJFD2010&filename=ZFFZ201029069&uniplatform=OVERSEA&v=jnxKizXtZvI0wTHqUs6kRIrvlI1y3HYBbamu36B2AYOWo_B092yTSdCadDW5Hwiq
- Xu, Z. (2012, June 29). 明清时期塾师的收入 [The income of private tutors in the Ming and Qing periods]. Historychina.net. <http://www.historychina.net/qsyj/ztyj/ztyjjj/2012-06-29/33218.shtml>
- Yoon, W. (2015). Dashed expectations: Limitations of the telegraphic service in the late Qing. *Modern Asian Studies*, 49(3), 832–857. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0026749X13000309>